



Unveiling the Depth: An Exploration of the Conceptualization, Guiding Principles, and Theoretical Perspectives of Inclusive Curriculum

Settings Rajendra Kunwar^a *

^a *Tribhuvan University, Faculty of Education, Nepal*

Abstract

This paper explores the concept of inclusive curriculum in education, aiming to provide equal opportunities and meaningful learning experiences for all students. It discusses the holistic nature of inclusive curriculum, encompassing content, instructional methods, assessment strategies, and learning environments. The guiding principles of equity, access, and social justice, collaboration and partnerships, individualized support and differentiation, positive school climate and culture, and inclusive policies and systems are highlighted. The paper examines theoretical perspectives, including the social model of disability, constructivism, critical pedagogy, and universal design for learning. Emphasizing the importance of recognizing diverse identities and cultures, the paper concludes that embracing an inclusive curriculum fosters inclusive learning environments promoting diversity, equity, and meaningful participation.

Keywords: Conceptualization, Key principles, Theoretical Perspectives, Inclusive Curriculum

© 2016 IJCI & the Authors. Published by *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction (IJCI)*. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY-NC-ND) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

Inclusive education is a philosophy and approach that aims to ensure that all learners, regardless of their backgrounds or abilities, have equal access and opportunities in education. It strives for a learning environment where every student feels valued, respected, and supported, and where obstacles to learning and participation are eliminated (OECD, 2023). Inclusive education is a system that seeks to provide equal access and opportunities to all students, regardless of disabilities, impairments, social class, gender, religion, ethnicity, and other differences (OECD, 2023). Inclusive education is a system where all students, regardless of their abilities or requirements, are welcomed and supported to learn (UNICEF, 2017). It ensures that teaching, curriculum, school facilities, and resources are accessible and suitable for all children at every level. Inclusive education promotes the idea that all children can learn together in the same schools (OECD, 2023; Mendoza & Heymann, 2022).

* Corresponding author: Rajendra Kunwar. ORCID ID.: <https://orcid.org/0000-0000-0000-0000>
E-mail address rajendrailam@gmail.com

Inclusive education goes beyond simply integrating or mainstreaming students with disabilities or special needs into regular classrooms. It embraces a broader understanding of diversity, including factors like ethnicity, language, socioeconomic status, gender, and learning styles (Kart & Kart, 2021; Shahi, 2022; Sharma, 2020). It acknowledges that each learner has unique strengths, needs, and contributions to offer. Inclusive education promotes the acceptance and active involvement of all students in mainstream classrooms, with the necessary support and accommodations available when required, according to the European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education (2022).

Inclusive classrooms have had positive social impacts on students without disabilities. They experience a decrease in fear, hostility, prejudice, and discrimination. In addition, their level of tolerance, acceptance, and understanding increases. Overall, inclusion fosters a more inclusive and empathetic society (Kart & Kart, 2021). Inclusive education benefits all children by improving their learning experiences, regardless of disabilities (Mendoza & Heymann, 2022; OECD, 2023). It fosters understanding, reduces prejudice, and encourages social integration among students (UNICEF, 2017). Additionally, inclusive education equips children with disabilities to actively participate in their communities, contributing both economically and socially (Regmi, 2017). By providing equal opportunities and support, inclusive education empowers children with disabilities to become valuable members of society (OECD, 2023). While the benefits of inclusive education are widely acknowledged, questions about its implementation persist in both theory and practice (Mendoza & Heymann, 2022; Schuelka & Engsig, 2022). The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities and the Sustainable Development Goals both recognize the right to inclusive education, but practical challenges remain, especially in resource-constrained settings (UNICEF, 2017). Despite efforts in the literature, there is still no globally agreed-upon definition for inclusive education (Mendoza & Heymann, 2022). Capturing the concept of inclusive education as both an educational principle and a practical application is intellectually challenging and remains one of the most difficult tasks in the field of education (Regmi, 2017; Shyman, 2015). Inclusive education is a complex and multifaceted concept, with ongoing debates and discussions regarding its theoretical foundations and practical implementation (Mendoza & Heymann, 2022).

In the past, there have been important moments and movements that aimed to provide fair education for all students, no matter their abilities or backgrounds. A significant milestone was the famous court case in the United States called *Brown v. Board of Education* in 1954. This case ruled that having separate schools for different races was against the law and started the process of desegregation, which helped shape the push for inclusive education (United States Courts, n.d.). Another important development was the Education for All Handicapped Children Act of 1975 in the United States cited by Zettel & Ballard (1979), which mandated a free and appropriate public education for students with disabilities and paved the way for inclusive education practices (U.S. Department of Education, n.d.a). The Warnock Report in 1978, in the United Kingdom, recommended a shift towards inclusive education by integrating students with special educational needs into mainstream schools whenever possible (Great Britain, 1978). The Salamanca Statement, adopted by UNESCO in 1994, emphasized the principles of inclusive education, calling for inclusive schools and the provision of support

services to ensure quality education for all (UNESCO, 1994). The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Amendments in 1997 reinforced the focus on inclusive education in the United States by promoting the inclusion of students with disabilities in general education classrooms to the maximum extent appropriate (U.S. Department of Education, n.d.b).

The Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, an international treaty adopted by the General Assembly of the United Nations in 2006, recognized the right to inclusive education for persons with disabilities and urged countries to provide reasonable accommodations and support for inclusive educational practices (United Nations, 2006). Finally, Goal 4 of the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals, established in 2015, emphasizes inclusive and equitable quality education for all, highlighting the importance of removing barriers and ensuring inclusive learning environments (United Nations, 2015). These milestones and movements have played a crucial role in shaping and advancing inclusive education globally, promoting equal educational opportunities, and challenging discriminatory practices.

Inclusive education entails a comprehensive transformation of the entire education system (UNICEF, 2017). This transformation encompasses various aspects, including legislation and policy development, financial systems, administrative procedures, educational design and delivery methods, as well as monitoring and evaluation practices. Furthermore, it necessitates reorganizing schools to create an inclusive environment that caters to the diverse needs of all learners. Inclusive education goes beyond individual classrooms and seeks to bring about systemic changes that enable equal access and opportunities for all students. The components of inclusive education are presented in Figure 1.



Figure 1: Inclusive Curriculum Components

Nepal is renowned for its rich cultural heritage and is considered one of the world's most culturally diverse countries. The 2011 National Population Census identified 125 caste/ethnic groups in Nepal, with 123 different languages spoken as mother tongues. According to the report of the Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS, 2078), the literacy rate in Nepal is 83.6 percent, and the percentage of people with disabilities is 2.2 percent. Given the educational status, and geographical and ethnographic diversity of Nepal, the effective

implementation of inclusive education is crucial. Historically, diverse groups such as children with disabilities, girls, marginalized communities, and those from rural areas have faced barriers to education in Nepal (Neupane, 2020).

- i) To understand the key concepts and guiding principles of inclusive education.
- ii) To identify the key theoretical perspectives of inclusive education.

2. Methodology

This study employs a combination of desk review and the researcher's extensive personal experiences in the field of inclusive education. By leveraging insights accumulated over a decade of involvement in this domain and conducting a thorough examination of pertinent literature, this study aims to augment the current understanding of inclusive education. Its primary objective is to bridge existing gaps in knowledge by offering a comprehensive exploration of the conceptualization, key principles, and theoretical perspectives that underpin inclusive education.

3. Results and Discussion

Conceptualization of Inclusive Education

Inclusive education is an approach that aims to ensure that all students have equal access and opportunities in education, regardless of their backgrounds or abilities (OECD, 2023; Regmi, 2017). It creates an environment where every student feels valued and supported, and where barriers to learning and participation are eliminated. Inclusive education goes beyond just including students with disabilities or special needs in regular classrooms. It recognizes and celebrates diversity, including factors like ethnicity, language, socioeconomic status, gender, and learning styles (OECD, 2023; UNESCO, 2017). It acknowledges that each student has unique strengths and needs, and promotes their acceptance and participation in mainstream classrooms with appropriate support and accommodations.

The conceptualization of inclusive education started gaining prominence in the mid-20th century, with several key figures contributing to its development. One notable contributor is UNESCO, which published the "Salamanca Statement and Framework for Action on Special Needs Education" in 1994, emphasizing the importance of inclusive education for children with disabilities (UNESCO, 1994). This document served as a significant milestone in the global understanding and promotion of inclusive education. Scholars such as Ainscow, Booth, and Dyson have also made significant contributions to the conceptualization of inclusive education. Their research and writings highlight the importance of addressing barriers to learning and participation in mainstream schools (Ainscow, et al., 2004). Florian and Linklater are renowned researchers who have advanced the understanding of inclusive education, focusing on policy, pedagogy, and practices that support the inclusion of all learners, particularly those with disabilities (Florian & Linklater, 2010). Booth and Ainscow have collaborated extensively on inclusive education research and practice, emphasizing the

importance of inclusive school cultures, collaborative practices, and contextualized approaches (Booth & Ainscow, 2011).

The concept of inclusive education has been shaped by numerous influential figures and organizations over time, though it is difficult to attribute its development to a single pioneer (Ainscow, 2005). Some key contributors to the field include Maria Montessori, who emphasized creating inclusive learning environments accommodating diverse needs (Montessori, 1912). Lev Vygotsky also highlighted the importance of providing appropriate support through his theories on the zone of proximal development and scaffolding (Vygotsky, 1978). Professor Mel Ainscow from the UK has prominently advocated for inclusive practices through research, publications, and collaborations globally (Ainscow, 2005). Additionally, the Warnock Report "Special Educational Needs", published in the UK in 1978, was groundbreaking in advocating for inclusive education and profoundly impacted educational policies worldwide (Warnock Report, 1978). While several pioneers contributed valuable insights, inclusive education emerged through the combined efforts of many over time, aimed at promoting equitable and quality education for all learners regardless of differences.

Key Principles of Inclusive Education

Principles play a crucial role in any subject or field by providing a foundational framework that guides understanding, decision-making, and practice. The key principles of inclusive education are fundamental guidelines that shape the philosophy and practices of creating inclusive learning environments that accommodate the diverse needs of all learners. The core principles of inclusive education are based on the belief that all students can learn and thrive in an inclusive environment. Bunist et al. (2022) describe five key principles of inclusive education: education for all, individual needs, barrier-free environment, respect for diversity, and effective learning. However, we can mention some key principles as stated below.

Access to Equal Opportunity

Access to equal opportunity refers to the principle of ensuring that all individuals have the same chances and resources to succeed, regardless of their backgrounds, characteristics, or circumstances (OECD, 2023; Reardon & Portilla, 2021). It encompasses various domains, including education, employment, healthcare, and social services. Some of the major accesses to equal opportunity regarding inclusive education are discussed briefly.

Education. Equal access to education is a fundamental component of ensuring equal opportunity. It involves providing all individuals, regardless of their socioeconomic status, race, gender, disability, or other factors, with equitable access to quality education (UNESCO, 2015). Recent research has emphasized the importance of equal educational opportunities in promoting social mobility, reducing inequalities, and fostering individual development and societal progress (OECD, 2021; Reardon & Portilla, 2021).

Employment. Equal opportunity in the workplace refers to creating a level playing field where individuals have equal access to employment, career advancement, and fair treatment. It

involves eliminating discriminatory practices and promoting diversity, inclusion, and merit-based selection processes (OECD, 2021). Recent studies have highlighted the benefits of equal opportunity in employment, including improved productivity, innovation, and employee well-being (Konrad et al., 2020; Schmitt et al., 2019).

Healthcare. Access to equal opportunity in healthcare means everyone, regardless of their background, has fair and unbiased access to healthcare services. This includes factors like a person's economic status, race, ethnicity, and other characteristics. It involves addressing healthcare disparities, promoting health equity, and providing culturally responsive and inclusive care (WHO, 2021). Recent literature has emphasized the importance of equal opportunity in healthcare for achieving better health outcomes, reducing health inequalities, and advancing population health (Mackenbach et al., 2019).

Social Services. Equal opportunity in accessing social services encompasses various areas, such as social welfare programs, housing, and support services for vulnerable populations. It involves providing individuals with fair and equitable access to necessary resources and support systems (Burchardt et al., 2019). Recent research has highlighted the positive impact of equal opportunity in social services on poverty reduction, social inclusion, and overall well-being (Cunha et al., 2019).

In conclusion, access to equal opportunity is crucial for promoting fairness, social justice, and individual and societal well-being. Equal opportunity in education, employment, healthcare, and social services is essential for reducing inequalities and creating a more inclusive and equitable society.

Equity and Social Justice

Equity and social justice are essential principles within inclusive education that aim to ensure fairness, equal opportunities, and the elimination of discrimination. Inclusive education promotes equity and social justice by ensuring that all students, regardless of their background or abilities, have equal access to quality education (Konrad et al., 2020; OECD, 2021; WHO, 2021). The principles of equity and social justice enhance the inclusive education in the following areas.

Fairness and Equal Opportunities. Equity in inclusive education recognizes that all learners, regardless of their background, abilities, or characteristics, have the right to receive a quality education (Jacobs et al., 2010; OECD, 2021). It emphasizes the provision of equal opportunities for learning, participation, and success. Inclusive education aims to remove barriers and address systemic inequalities to ensure that every student has an equitable chance to thrive academically, socially, and emotionally.

Addressing Discrimination and Exclusion. Inclusive education promotes social justice by actively addressing and combating discrimination, exclusion, and marginalization within educational settings (Ginwright, 2018). It seeks to create safe and inclusive environments where diversity is valued and celebrated (WHO, 2021). This involves challenging stereotypes, biases, and prejudices and fostering an environment that respects and appreciates the unique identities and experiences of all learners.

Recognizing and Valuing Diversity. Equity and social justice in inclusive education involve recognizing and valuing the diversity of learners (Konrad et al., 2020). It acknowledges that students come from different cultural, linguistic, socio-economic, and ability backgrounds, and their experiences and perspectives enrich the learning environment. Inclusive education embraces diversity as a strength and seeks to create inclusive practices and policies that honor and include the voices and experiences of all individuals.

Reducing Disparities and Providing Support. Inclusive education addresses disparities in educational outcomes by providing targeted support and resources to learners who face additional challenges (Konrad et al., 2020). This includes students with disabilities, those from marginalized communities, and those who may require extra support to access the curriculum (Burchardt et al., 2019). Inclusive education seeks to bridge the achievement gap and ensure that all students have the necessary support to succeed academically and holistically (Wang et al., 2021).

Advocacy and Social Change. Equity and social justice in inclusive education involve advocating for policy changes, reforms, and inclusive practices at the systemic level (Bunist et al., 2022). It aims to challenge and transform existing structures and systems that perpetuate inequality and exclusion. Inclusive education seeks to create a more equitable society by promoting awareness, understanding, and action towards social justice within educational institutions and the broader community.

Ultimately, inclusive education strives to create a society that celebrates diversity, fosters empathy, and promotes social cohesion. It aims to break down the barriers that hinder the realization of each student's potential, ensuring that all students have an equal opportunity to succeed and contribute meaningfully to the world around them. By upholding equity and social justice, inclusive education paves the way for a brighter, more inclusive future for all.

Collaboration and Partnerships

Inclusive education emphasizes collaboration among educators, families, and community members to support student learning, well-being, and inclusion. Collaboration and partnerships play a crucial role in promoting inclusive education. They involve fostering strong relationships and effective communication among educators, families, students, and the community to create inclusive learning environments (Friend & Cook, 2020). The collaboration and partnership can enhance inclusive education in the following ways.

Collaboration among Educators. Inclusive education emphasizes collaboration among educators from different disciplines, such as general education teachers, special education teachers, and support staff. Collaborative teams work together to design and implement instructional strategies, accommodations, and interventions that meet the diverse needs of all learners (Friend & Cook, 2020). They engage in shared decision-making, resource sharing, and co-planning to provide a cohesive and inclusive educational experience.

Partnerships with Families. Inclusive education recognizes the importance of strong partnerships between educators and families. Collaboration with families involves actively involving them in decision-making processes, sharing information, and seeking their input regarding their child's educational goals and support needs (Friend & Cook, 2020). Research

suggests that meaningful partnerships with families can positively impact students' academic progress, social-emotional development, and self-advocacy skills (Harry & Klingner, 2014).

Student Involvement and Self-Advocacy. Collaboration in inclusive education extends to involving students in the decision-making process and fostering their self-advocacy skills. Engaging students in setting their goals, discussing their learning preferences, and involving them in Individualized Education Program (IEP) meetings promotes their active participation and ownership of their educational journey (Council for Exceptional Children, 2021; Friend & Cook, 2020). Students' active involvement in their education enhances their motivation, self-confidence, and sense of belonging.

Community Engagement and Partnerships. Inclusive education acknowledges the importance of involving the broader community in supporting inclusive practices. Collaborating with community organizations, local businesses, and service providers helps create inclusive environments that extend beyond the classroom walls (National Center on Universal Design for Learning, 2018). Community partnerships can contribute to creating inclusive opportunities, providing resources, and fostering social connections for students with diverse needs.

Thus, collaboration and partnerships can promote inclusive education. By working together, educators, families, students, and the community can create inclusive learning environments that support the diverse needs of all learners, enhance educational outcomes, and foster a sense of belonging and well-being.

Individualized Support and Differentiation

Individualized support and differentiation are integral components of inclusive education. They involve tailoring instruction and support to meet the unique needs of each learner, ensuring equitable educational opportunities for all (Tomlinson & Moon, 2013). Inclusive education recognizes and addresses the unique strengths and needs of each learner through individualized support, differentiated instruction, and personalized learning approaches (Sousa & Tomlinson, 2014). Inclusive education can be supported by individualized support and differentiation in the following ways.

Individualized Support. Individualized support refers to providing personalized assistance and accommodations to students with diverse learning needs. It involves identifying and addressing the specific strengths, challenges, and interests of each learner. Individualized support may include modifications to the curriculum, instructional materials, or assessments, as well as the provision of assistive technologies or support from specialized professionals (McLeskey et al., 2017; Sousa & Tomlinson, 2014). Research indicates that individualized support can have a positive impact on student engagement, achievement, and overall well-being (Drago-Severson et al., 2020).

Differentiation. Differentiation involves adjusting instruction to accommodate learners' varying abilities, interests, and learning styles. It encompasses adapting content, instructional strategies, and assessment methods to meet the diverse needs of students within a classroom (Tomlinson & Moon, 2013). Differentiated instruction aims to provide multiple pathways for learning, allowing students to access and engage with the content at their own level and pace.

Recent studies emphasize the effectiveness of differentiation in promoting academic growth, motivation, and positive learning outcomes for all students (Hattie, 2020; Tomlinson & Moon, 2013).

Thus, inclusive education recognizes the importance of individualized support and differentiation to ensure equitable access to education for all learners. It supports by tailoring instruction to address students' unique needs, inclusive practices promote a sense of belonging, engagement, and success for every student.

Positive School Climate and Culture

Positive school climate and culture play a crucial role in fostering inclusive education. They create an environment that promotes respect, acceptance, and well-being for all students, regardless of their backgrounds or abilities. Inclusive education fosters a positive and inclusive school climate that values diversity, promotes acceptance, and creates a safe and welcoming environment for all students (Collie et al., 2020). Positive school climate and culture can help inclusive education in the following ways.

Positive School Climate. Positive school climate refers to the overall atmosphere and tone of a school, characterized by a sense of safety, inclusivity, and support. It encompasses the relationships among students, teachers, administrators, and the broader school community. A positive school climate nurtures a sense of belonging, promotes positive social interactions, and encourages active student engagement (Lombardi et al., 2019). Recent research has shown that a positive school climate is associated with higher academic achievement, increased student motivation, and improved social-emotional well-being (Konishi et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2021).

Inclusive School Culture. Inclusive school culture refers to the values, beliefs, and practices that promote diversity, equity, and inclusivity within the school community. It recognizes and celebrates the unique contributions and identities of all students, fostering a sense of belonging and empowerment. An inclusive school culture embraces and supports students with diverse backgrounds, abilities, and needs, ensuring that they have equitable access to opportunities and resources (Ainscow et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2020). Recent studies highlight the positive impact of inclusive school culture on student outcomes, including academic achievement, social-emotional development, and overall well-being (Ainscow et al., 2020; Pijl et al., 2021).

In conclusion, positive school climate and culture are essential components of inclusive education. They foster an environment where diversity is celebrated, inclusion is prioritized, and all students can thrive. By promoting respect, acceptance, and well-being, a positive school climate and culture contribute to improved academic outcomes, social-emotional development, and overall success for all learners.

Inclusive Policies and Systems

Inclusive policies and systems are critical components of inclusive education. They involve the development and implementation of regulations, practices, and structures that ensure equitable access to education and support the diverse needs of all learners. Inclusive education requires the development and implementation of inclusive policies and systems that

support the inclusion of all students and provide necessary resources and support services (Meijer & Pijl, 2018). The inclusive policies and systems support the inclusive education in the following ways.

Inclusive Policies. Inclusive policies are guidelines and regulations that promote equal educational opportunities and remove barriers to participation for all students. These policies may address areas such as curriculum, assessment, teacher training, funding, and the provision of support services (UNESCO, 2017). Inclusive policies aim to eliminate discrimination, promote diversity, and create an inclusive learning environment. Recent research highlights the importance of inclusive policies in facilitating the inclusion of students with diverse backgrounds, abilities, and needs (OECD, 2021; UNESCO, 2020).

Inclusive Systems. Inclusive systems encompass the structures, processes, and practices that support the implementation of inclusive education at various levels, including the school, district, and national levels. It involves collaboration among stakeholders, such as educators, administrators, families, and community members, to create a comprehensive and sustainable framework for inclusive education (Booth et al., 2019; OECD, 2021). Inclusive systems promote collaboration, capacity-building, and shared responsibility for meeting the needs of all learners. Recent studies emphasize the importance of establishing inclusive systems to ensure the successful implementation of inclusive education (Nolan et al., 2021; Slee et al., 2022).

In conclusion, inclusive policies and systems are crucial for the successful implementation of inclusive education. They provide the framework and support necessary to ensure equitable access to education and meet the diverse needs of all learners. By implementing inclusive policies and establishing inclusive systems, education systems can work towards creating inclusive environments that value diversity, promote participation, and enable the success of every student.

Theoretical Perspective of Inclusive Education

Theoretical perspectives provide a conceptual framework for understanding and implementing inclusive education in educational settings. It encompasses various theoretical approaches that inform the principles, strategies, and underlying beliefs of inclusive education (Armstrong et al., 2021). This section discusses the theoretical lenses that inform inclusive education practices. It explores theoretical perspectives such as social justice, emphasizing equitable access and opportunities; disability studies, challenging traditional medical models and focusing on social and cultural aspects; and cultural responsiveness, recognizing and valuing diverse cultural backgrounds and identities (Regmi, 2017). The major theoretical perspectives of inclusive education are discussed briefly.

Social Model of Disability

The social model of disability is a key theoretical perspective that underpins inclusive education. It posits that disability is not solely an individual's impairment but is largely rooted in social and environmental barriers that restrict full participation and inclusion (Owens, 2015). The social model of disability is a framework that emphasizes how societal barriers and attitudes contribute to the exclusion and marginalization of individuals with disabilities, rather

than seeing disability as solely an individual medical or biological issue (Florian, 2018). The social model focuses on removing barriers and creating an inclusive environment that enables all students, including those with disabilities, to fully participate in educational opportunities (Slee et al., 2022; UNESCO, 2017). It promotes the idea that all students, regardless of their abilities or disabilities, should have equal access to education in mainstream settings. It aims to provide appropriate support and accommodations to ensure that students with disabilities can fully participate and learn alongside their peers without disabilities (Slee, 2022). This approach recognizes that disability is a social construct influenced by the physical, attitudinal, and systemic barriers present in society and that these barriers can be addressed through inclusive educational practices (Owens, 2015).

According to this perspective, inclusive education aims to remove these barriers and create inclusive environments that accommodate diverse learners (UNESCO, 2017). Recent research has highlighted the importance of the social model of disability in guiding inclusive education practices and policies (Armstrong et al., 2021; Slee et al., 2022).

Constructivism

Constructivism is a learning theory that emphasizes the active construction of knowledge by learners through their interactions with the environment and social interactions (Vygotsky, 1978). In the context of inclusive education, constructivism supports the idea that all students, regardless of their abilities, benefit from active, hands-on learning experiences and collaborative interactions with peers and teachers (Ainscow & Miles, 2009). Constructivism is an educational philosophy that emphasizes the active construction of knowledge by learners through their interactions with the environment. In the context of inclusive education, constructivism encourages the creation of learning environments that are responsive to the diverse needs and experiences of all students, including those with disabilities (Artiles & Kozleski, 2016). It recognizes that learners bring their own prior knowledge, experiences, and cultural backgrounds to the learning process, and that instruction should be tailored to their individual needs.

Constructivist approaches in inclusive education promote student-centered learning, collaborative activities, and hands-on experiences. They emphasize the importance of engaging students in meaningful and authentic learning tasks that encourage critical thinking, problem-solving, and the application of knowledge in real-world contexts (Loreman & Deppeler, 2019). By focusing on the active participation and contributions of all students, constructivism supports inclusive practices that value and respect the unique abilities and perspectives of each learner (Florian et al., 2019). Recent studies have explored the application of constructivist principles in inclusive classrooms, highlighting its potential for promoting engagement, critical thinking, and social-emotional development (Charmaz, 2017; Florian et al., 2019).

Universal Design for Learning

Universal design for learning is an educational framework that aims to provide all students with equal opportunities to learn and succeed by removing barriers and designing flexible instructional materials and strategies (Meyer et al., 2014; Rose & Gravel, 2019). It

recognizes that learners have diverse needs, preferences, and abilities, and it promotes the use of multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression to accommodate these differences. In the context of inclusive education, universal design for learning provides a framework for designing inclusive learning environments that meet the needs of all students, including those with disabilities (Basham et al., 2020; Rose et al., 2019). It is a framework that promotes the design of flexible learning environments and instructional materials that can be accessed and utilized by all students, regardless of their individual differences (Meyer et al., 2014; Rose & Gravel, 2019). It emphasizes the provision of multiple means of representation, engagement, and expression to address the diverse learning needs and preferences of learners (Meyer et al., 2014). It focuses on three core principles- multiple representations, multiple engagements, and multiple expressions (Meyer et al., 2014; Rose & Gravel, 2019).

Multiple Representation. It denotes providing content in various formats and modalities to support diverse learning styles, such as text, images, videos, and audio.

Multiple Means of Engagement. It emphasizes providing options for students to become motivated and engaged in their learning, such as allowing for student choice, incorporating interactive activities, and promoting self-assessment.

Multiple Means of Expression. It offers diverse ways for students to demonstrate their knowledge and skills, such as through written assignments, oral presentations, multimedia projects, or other creative means.

Recent research has examined the effectiveness of UDL in promoting inclusive practices and improving student outcomes across various educational settings (Basham et al., 2020; Meyer et al., 2014). Thus, universal design for learning helps to promote inclusive education by incorporating these principles to create inclusive learning environments that minimize barriers, promote accessibility, and foster equitable opportunities for all students to learn and succeed.

Critical Pedagogy

Critical pedagogy is a theoretical perspective that emphasizes the examination and transformation of social inequalities and power dynamics through education (Freire, 2018). Critical pedagogy is an educational approach that aims to empower students and promote social justice by encouraging critical thinking, reflection, and action. In the context of inclusive education, critical pedagogy seeks to challenge and transform oppressive systems and structures that marginalize certain groups of students, including those with disabilities. It recognizes the importance of addressing power dynamics and promoting equity in educational settings (Freire, 2018). In inclusive education, critical pedagogy promotes the development of critical consciousness, social justice, and advocacy for marginalized students (Giroux & McLaren, 2017). Recent literature has explored the application of critical pedagogy in inclusive classrooms, highlighting its potential for fostering empowerment, self-advocacy, and social change (Ginwright, 2018; Giroux & McLaren, 2017).

The theoretical perspectives of inclusive education provide a foundation for understanding the principles, strategies, and values that underpin inclusive practices in educational settings (Ginwright, 2018). The social model of disability, constructivism,

universal design for learning, and critical pedagogy are among the key theoretical perspectives that inform inclusive education. By employing these theoretical frameworks, educators and researchers can work towards creating inclusive learning environments that value diversity, promote participation, and support the success of all learners. Critical pedagogy in inclusive education focuses on the following key principles:

Problem-posing Education. Encouraging students to critically analyze and question social norms, biases, and injustices, promotes a deeper understanding of the world around them.

Dialogue and Collaboration. Creating a democratic and inclusive learning environment where students are encouraged to share their perspectives, engage in meaningful discussions, and collaborate with others.

Empowerment and Agency. Fostering students' sense of agency and empowering them to take action to challenge injustice and advocate for inclusive practices.

Thus, critical pedagogy within the context of inclusive education explores the principles and applications of critical pedagogy, emphasizing its potential to challenge oppressive systems, foster critical consciousness, and promote inclusive practices in education. Also, it highlights the need to create educational environments that empower students, value their diverse perspectives, and address systemic barriers to ensure equitable opportunities for all learners.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, the exploration of inclusive curriculum has highlighted its significance in providing equal opportunities and meaningful learning experiences for all students by adopting a holistic approach to creating inclusive and empowering educational experiences. Guided by principles of equity, access, and social justice, an inclusive curriculum challenges traditional educational notions and promotes diversity and meaningful participation. The examination of various theoretical perspectives, including the social model of disability, critical pedagogy, and universal design for learning, has shed light on the diverse insights and strategies for fostering inclusive practices. These perspectives advocate for the removal of barriers, the development of critical consciousness, and the addressing of social inequalities.

In embracing an inclusive curriculum, educators, policymakers, and researchers have the opportunity to transform educational practices and establish inclusive learning environments that celebrate diversity, ensure equity, and foster meaningful participation for all students. This exploration serves as a valuable resource, offering insights and guidance to those dedicated to advancing inclusive curriculum and its potential to enhance educational outcomes. By doing so, we can collectively strive towards the creation of a society that values and supports every learner, providing them with equal opportunities to flourish and make meaningful contributions.

References

- Ainscow, M. (2005). Developing inclusive education systems: What are the levers for change? *Journal of Educational Change*, 6(2), 109-124.
- Ainscow, M., Booth, T., & Dyson, A. (2004). Understanding and developing inclusive practices in schools: A collaborative action research network. In C. Forlin & C. E. G. Kearney (Eds.), *Inclusive education: International policy & practice* (pp. 13-31). Routledge.
- Ainscow, M., Medrano, C., & Gómez, A. (2020). *Inclusive education: Examining equity on five continents*. Harvard Education Press.
- Armstrong, D., Armstrong, A. C., & Spandagou, I. (2021). *Inclusive education as a site of struggle: Theoretical perspectives, research, and practice from around the world*. Routledge.
- Artiles, A. J., & Kozleski, E. B. (2016). Inclusion's promises and trajectories: Critical notes about future research on a venerable idea. *Education Policy Analysis Annuals*, 24 (3), 1-29 <http://dx.doi.org/10.14507/epaa.24.1919>.
- Basham, J. D., Hall, T. E., & Carter, E. W. (2020). Universal design for learning in inclusive classrooms: A systematic review of research. *Exceptional Children*, 86(2), 123-143.
- Booth, T., & Ainscow, M. (2011). *Index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools* (3rd ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- Booth, T., Ainscow, M., & Kingston, D. (2019). *Index for inclusion: Developing learning and participation in schools* (4th ed.). Centre for Studies on Inclusive Education.
- Bunist, A., Sorin, R., & Ciolan, L. (2022). Inclusive education during social changes: Dilemmas, situations and new positioning strategies. *Cognitie, Creier, Comportament/Cognition, Brain, Behavior*, 26(1), 59–72.
- Burchardt, T., Obolenskaya, P., & Vizard, P. (2019). *Tackling inequalities: Where are we now and what can be done?* Bristol University Press.
- Central Bureau of Statistics (CBS), Nepal (2021). *National Population and Housing Census*. <http://cbs.gov.np/nada/index.php/catalog>
- Charmaz, K. (2017). *Constructing grounded theory* (2nd ed.). Sage.
- Collie, R. J., Martin, A. J., & Papworth, B. (2020). School climate and wellbeing: A review of the literature. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32(4), 705-735.
- Council for Exceptional Children. (2021). *Inclusive practices for students with disabilities: A guide for educators*. <http://www.cec.sped.org/>
- Cunha, F., Heckman, J. J., & Schennach, S. M. (2019). Estimating the technology of cognitive and noncognitive skill formation. *Econometrica*, 87(4), 1281-1320.
- Drago-severson, E., Blum-DeStefano, J., & Asghar, A. (2020). *Tell me so I can hear you: A developmental approach to feedback for educators*. Harvard Education Press.

- European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education. (2020). *Inclusive education in action: Participation and learning in primary schools*. <https://www.european-agency.org/publications/reports/participation-and-learning-primary-schools>
- European Agency for Special Needs and Inclusive Education. (2022). *Inclusive education: Developments in Europe*. <https://www.european-agency.org/publications/reports/inclusive-education-developments-in-europe>
- Florian, L. (2018). Reimagining special education in the 21st century. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 33*(1), 3-9. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08856257.2017.1417527>
- Florian, L., & Linklater, H. (2010). Preparing teachers for inclusive education: Using inclusive pedagogy to enhance teaching and learning for all. *Cambridge Journal of Education, 40*(4), 369-386.
- Florian, L., Linklater, H., & Black-Hawkins, K. (2019). Inclusive practices, inclusive pedagogies: Learning from student voices. *European Journal of Special Needs Education, 34*(2), 212-226.
- Freire, P. (2018). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. 50th-anniversary edition, Bloomsbury Academic.
- Friend, M., & Cook, L. (2020). *Interactions: Collaboration skills for school professionals*. Pearson.
- Ginwright, S. (2018). Critical pedagogy and youth activism: Creating spaces for radical healing and social justice in schools. *Journal of School Health, 88*(7), 514-520.
- Giroux, H. A., & McLaren, P. (Eds.). (2017). *Critical pedagogy, eco-literacy, and planetary crisis: The eco pedagogy movement*. Routledge.
- Great Britain. (1978). *Special educational needs. Report of the committee of inquiry into the education of handicapped children and young people* (The Warnock Report). Retrieved from <http://www.educationengland.org.uk/documents/warnock/warnock1978.html>
- Harry, B., & Klingner, J. (2014). *Why are so many minority students in special education?: Understanding race & disability in schools*. Teachers College Press.
- Hattie, J. (2020). *Visible learning: A synthesis of over 800 meta-analyses relating to achievement*. Routledge.
- Jacobs, L. A. (2010). Equality, adequacy, and stakes fairness: Retrieving the equal opportunities in education approach. *Theory and Research in Education, 8*(3), 249–268. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477878510381627>
- Kart, A., & Kart, M. (2021). Academic and social effects of inclusion on students without disabilities: A review of the literature. *Education. Sciences, 11*, 16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci11010016>

- Konishi, C., Hymel, S., Zumbo, B. D., & Li, Z. (2019). Do school climate and peer support matter for social-emotional learning? A multilevel analysis. *Journal of School Psychology, 77*, 45-62.
- Konrad, A. M., Erkut, S., Singh, R., & Moore, M. (2020). Workforce diversity, inclusion, and equity: A contrarian view. *Human Resource Management Review, 30*(1), 100709.
- Lombardi, E., Traficante, D., Bettoni, R., Offredi, I., Giorgetti, M., & Vernice, M. (2019). The impact of school climate on well-being experience and school engagement: A study with high-school students. *Frontiers in Psychology, 10*, 482084. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.02482>
- Loreman, T., & Deppeler, J. (Eds.). (2019). *Inclusive education in the early years: Right from the start*. Routledge.
- Mackenbach, J. P., McKee, M., & Marmot, M. (2019). *Health inequalities: Europe in profile*. Wiley.
- McLeskey, J., Barringer, M. D., Billingsley, B. S., Brownell, M. T., Jackson, D., Kennedy, M., ... & Ziegler, D. (2017). *High-leverage practices in special education*. Council for Exceptional Children.
- Meijer, C. J., & Pijl, S. J. (Eds.). (2018). *Inclusive education: A global agenda for sustainable development*. Routledge.
- Mendoza, M., & Heymann, J. (2022). Implementation of inclusive education: A systematic review of studies of inclusive education interventions in low- and lower-middle-income countries. *International Journal of Disability, Development and Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1034912X.2022.2095359>
- Meyer, A., Rose, D. H., & Gordon, D. (2014). *Universal design for learning: Theory and Practice*. Wakefield, MA: CAST Professional Publishing.
- Montessori, M. (1912). *The montessori method: Scientific pedagogy as applied to child education in the children's houses*. Frederick A. Stokes Company.
- National Center on Universal Design for Learning. (2018). *UDL and the community: A blueprint for engagement*. Retrieved from <http://www.udlcenter.org/sites/udlcenter.org/files/updateguidelines.pdf>
- Neupane, P. (2020). Policy framework for education development in Nepal. *International Education Studies, 13*(1), 89-97. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v13n1p89>
- Nolan, A., Armstrong, D., & Munn, P. (2021). Inclusive education: Principles, policies, and practices in Ireland. *Oxford Review of Education, 47*(2), 256-273.
- OECD (2021). *Adapting curriculum to bridge equity gaps: Towards an inclusive curriculum*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/6b49e118-en>.
- OECD (2023). *Equity and inclusion in education: Finding strength through diversity*, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/e9072e21-en>.

- Owens, J. (2015). Exploring the critiques of the social model of disability: The transformative possibility of Arendt's notion of power. *Sociology of Health & Illness*, 37(3), 385–403. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9566.12199>
- Pijl, S. J., Meijer, C. J., & Hegarty, S. (2021). Inclusive education in international perspective: Taking stock and looking forward. *Frontiers in Education*, 6, 618503.
- Reardon, S. F., & Portilla, X. A. (2021). Recent trends in income, racial, and ethnic school readiness gaps at kindergarten entry. *AERA Open*, 7(1), 2332858420987149.
- Regmi, N. P. (2017). *Inclusive education in Nepal from theory to practice*. [Doctoral thesis, The Ludwig-Maximilians-University, Faculty of Psychology and Pedagogy]
- Rose, D. H., & Gravel, J. W. (2019). *Universal design for learning: Theory and practice*. CAST Professional Publishing.
- Santos, M. C., Alves, G. R., & Silva, M. A. (2020). Inclusive education: A systematic literature review. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 1502.
- Schmitt, M. T., Branscombe, N. R., Postmes, T., & Garcia, A. (2019). The consequences of perceived discrimination for psychological well-being: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*, 145(6), 531-554.
- Schuelka, M. J., & Engsig, T. T. (2022) On the question of educational purpose: complex educational systems analysis for inclusion, *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 26(5), 448-465. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13603116.2019.1698062>
- Shahi, B. D. (2022). Practices of inclusive education in Nepal. *Marsyangdi Journal*, 3(1), 99-109.
- Sharma, P. (2020). Teachers' attitude towards inclusive education in Nepal. *Interdisciplinary Research in Education*, 4(2), 173-189. <https://doi.org/10.3126/ire.v4i2.27933>
- Slee, R., Allan, J., & Lacey, P. (2022). *Inclusive education in action: Policy, practice and research* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Sousa, D. A., & Tomlinson, C. A. (2014). *Differentiation and the brain: How neuroscience supports the learner-friendly classroom*. Solution Tree.
- Tomlinson, C. A., & Moon, T. R. (2013). *Assessment and student success in a differentiated classroom*. Alexandria, VA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development.
- U.S. Department of Education. (n.d.a). *IDEA-the Individuals with disabilities education act*. Retrieved from <https://sites.ed.gov/idea/>
- U.S. Department of Education. (n.d.b). *IDEA amendments of 1997*. Retrieved from <https://sites.ed.gov/idea/idea/amendments-of-1997/>
- UN General Assembly. (2006). *Optional protocol to the convention on the rights of persons with disabilities*. New York, NY: United Nations. <http://www.un.org/disabilities/documents/convention/convoptprot-e.pdf>

- UNESCO (2020). *Inclusion in education: A biennial report to follow up the education 2030 agenda*. UNESCO.
- UNESCO. (1994). *The salamanca statement and framework for action on special needs education*. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000099473>
- UNESCO. (2015). *Education 2030: Incheon declaration and framework for action for the implementation of sustainable development goal 4*. UNESCO.
- UNICEF. (2017). *Inclusive education including children with disabilities in quality learning: what needs to be done?* https://www.unicef.org/eca/sites/unicef.org/eca/files/IE_summary_accessible_220917_brief.pdf
- United Nations. (2006). *Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities*. <https://www.un.org/development/desa/disabilities/convention-on-the-rights-of-persons-with-disabilities.html>
- United Nations. (2015). *Sustainable development goals*. <https://www.un.org/sustainabledevelopment/education/>
- United States Courts. (n.d.). *Brown v. board of education (1954)*. <https://www.uscourts.gov/educational-resources/educational-activities/brown-v-board-education-1954>
- Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher psychological processes*. Harvard University Press.
- Wang, M. T., Degol, J. L., & Ye, F. (2021). School climate and academic achievement: A meta-analytic review. *Child Development*, 92(3), 1033-1054.
- Warnock Report. (1978). *Special educational needs: Report of the committee of inquiry into the education of handicapped children and young people*. Her Majesty's Stationery Office.
- WHO (World Health Organization). (2021). *Health equity: Raising awareness of the link between health and human rights*. World Health Organization.
- Zettel, J. J., & Ballard, J. (1979). The education for all handicapped children act of 1975 PL 94-142: Its history, origins, and concepts. *Journal of Education*, 161(3), 5-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002205747916100303>

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the Journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (CC BY-NC-ND) (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).